# TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS ON MD 200 INTERCOUNTY CONNECTOR (ICC) \& VICINITY 

## A Comparative Analysis of two Scenarios: <br> "With" and "Without" the Facility in year 2040

## Technical Documentation

Prepared for the MD SHA

By
The Transportation Planning Board of
The Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A study was undertaken at the request of the MD SHA to assess long-term impacts of MD 200 Intercounty Connector (ICC) in its immediate vicinity and the Metropolitan Washington Region. The most recent regional travel demand model of the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments Transportation Planning Board (MWCOG/TPB), the latest land use planning assumptions, mobile emissions estimating model and highway/transit networks from the TPB Constrained Long Range Plan (CLRP) at the time of the request were used in this study. This is the second time that the MWCOG/TPB provides technical assistance to MD SHA for the ICC corridor. Technical information from a previous study was incorporated into the ICC Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), which was approved in January 2006.

The goal of this study is to assess the long-term role of ICC (year 2040) in the immediate vicinity and the region (I.e., TPB modeled area) by measuring a broad range of travel characteristics ranging from spatial and temporal congestion mitigation, to how much the roadway network is being utilized, to how many more jobs become accessible by highway and transit travel due to the construction of the ICC, to air quality impacts in the study area and the region. These goals were achieved by comparing travel characteristics from two scenarios: a scenario with the ICC completed by year 2040 (i.e., Build Scenario) and a scenario without ICC Built also year 2040 (i.e., No Build Scenario). The No Build Scenario is hypothetical as the first phase of ICC is already constructed and open to traffic. The remainder is scheduled to open in 2013 or 2014. By testing such a hypothetical scenario the long-term impacts - positive and negative - of ICC could be quantified.

Congestion Mitigation Impacts: ICC relieves congestion in its immediate vicinity the study area - as it reduces approximately 38,000 vehicle hours of delay (VHD) or 8.3 percent of the study area total. At a regional scale the congestion mitigation effects of ICC are considerably smaller as an estimated 58,000 VHD are reduced, or a 1.7 percent of the regional total. It is noteworthy that most of the regional VHD decrease occurs in the study area.

The greatest congestion relief in the study area is expected during the peak periods: with ICC constructed motorists will experience 28 additional miles of uncongested facilities during the AM Peak Period than they will have without the ICC in place; these 28 miles (i.e., 8 percent of the study area total) would have been congested. The corresponding congestion relief for the PM Peak Period is 25 miles of uncongested roadways in the study area (i.e., 7 percent of the study area total).

Among the various user groups of the transportation network, single occupancy vehicles (SOV), which represent the largest market segment of the traveling public, will realize the largest decrease of vehicle hours of delay with approximately $23,000 \mathrm{VHD}$ reduction
in the study area and 35,000 in the region. Among smaller market segments of the travelling public, BWI airport-related trips and trucks will realize the greatest VHD reductions - in percentage points reductions -- in the study area with $14 \%$ and $8 \%$ respectively. The VHD reductions for BWI airport-related trips and trucks in a regional context are $2 \%$ for each category. Among three corridors parallel to ICC - and I-495 that MD SHA asked TPB to evaluate for congestion relief, the northernmost corridor i.e., I-370 to MD 198) yielded the most travel time savings. It is a corridor with a direct connection to I-270 via I-370. It is also noteworthy that no significant travel volume shifts were recorded from I-495 to ICC.

Network Utilization Impacts: The construction of ICC will increase the overall Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) in the study area marginally (i.e., an increase of 892,787 VMT or $3.7 \%$ of the total). At the regional level the construction of ICC will increase VMT by 507,027 or $0.2 \%$ of the regional total. During the peak periods VMT will increase approximately by $6 \%$ (i.e., each of the AM and PM peak periods) while the off-peak VMT increase would be around $2 \%$. Regionally the peak periods and daily total VMT increases do not exceed $0.5 \%$ of the corresponding totals, which are indicators of the localized effect of ICC on VMT.

Among the various user groups of the transportation network, single occupancy vehicles (SOV) - representing the largest market share of the traveling public - will be responsible for the largest VMT increase in the study area (i.e., approximately 18,000 VMT) and 322,000 VMT in the region. In terms VMT increases measured in percentage points, HOV+3 and commercial vehicles - representing smaller market shares of the traveling public - exhibit the largest percentage increases in VMT (i.e., over 6\% each). However, they do not result in measurable VMT increases in the region.

ICC does not change the total number of person trips in the study area as the difference between the two scenarios is a marginal 3,163 person trips out of 24.5 million. Similarly, ICC will not change the overall transit ridership in the study area as the difference between the two scenarios is 9,706 person trips out of transit ridership of 1.5 million.

Two segments of ICC are the most heavily traveled: (a) a section between I-370 and MD 97 (Georgia Avenue); (b) a section between MD 650 (N. Hampshire Avenue) and I-95. The east end of ICC (i.e., east of I-95) is the least travelled segment carrying approximately $25-35 \%$ of the volumes of the remaining segments of ICC. During peak time periods roughly a half of ICC traffic is entering from interchanges at MD 355 and MD 97 and exiting at I-95 and US 29; and the westbound traffic shows the reverse pattern.

Jobs Accessibility and Costs: ICC significantly improves accessibility to jobs in the core of the study area, which is roughly defined by I-270 on the west, I-95 on the east and I-495 on the south. .

Toll projections on ICC to ensure free-flow speeds throughout the day were estimated by the model at present levels. During the peak periods, the modeled tolls were found to be $\$ 1.43$ for a traveler between MD 97 (Georgia Avenue) and I-370 when the actual toll for the same segment currently stands at \$1. 45 .

Air Quality Impacts: The construction of ICC yields nominal increases in criteria pollutants in the study area and the region. In the case of Ozone - Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) there is no measurable change in the levels of VOC between the two scenarios as a nominal increase in Montgomery County is balanced by nominal decreases in neighboring Prince George's and Frederick Counties. In the case of Ozone NOx there is a nominal increase of $0.163 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ in Montgomery County and nominal decreases in Prince George's, Frederick, Calvert, and Charles Counties. In the case of Fine Particles emissions, there are increases of $3.8 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{y}$ of Direct PM2.5 and $64.6 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{y}$ in Precursor NOx in Montgomery County and nominal decreases in Frederick County. Montgomery County shows the most significant increase in annual CO2 emissions with an estimated 236,000 ton or a four percent increase.

In summary, the travel-related indicators assessed in this study converge to the same conclusion: MD 200 Intercounty Connector (ICC) yields substantial benefits in its immediate vicinity (i.e., study area) by reducing spatial and temporal congestion, by providing a connecting corridor between I-270, I-95, and the BWI airport further away, by increasing the number of accessible jobs by highway and transit modes at competitive toll rates, while nominally increasing air quality emissions in the region. The beneficial effects of ICC, however, dissipate with distance from the facility.
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## BACKGROUND

A study was undertaken by the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments Transportation Planning Board (MWCOG/TPB) at the request of the Maryland State Highway Administration (MD SHA) in order to assess long-term travel-related characteristics in the area surrounding the Intercounty Connector (ICC). Year 2040 was chosen as the long-term planning horizon year because it is the last year of the 2011 MWCOG/TPB Constrained Long Range Transportation Plan (CLRP).

Comparative analyses across a broad range of performance measures were conducted between two scenarios: "With" and "Without" the ICC constructed by year 2040. Such analysis will quantify the long-term impact of ICC in the adjacent vicinity and further away.

The analyses were conducted using the most recent planning assumptions at the time of the MD SHA request. They were: MWCOG/TPB's Version 2.3 regional travel demand model, Round 8.0a cooperative land use forecasts, and highway/transit networks based on the 2011 Constrained Long Range Plan (CLRP). This is the second time that the MWCOG/TPB provides technical assistance to MD SHA for the ICC corridor. The initial contribution consisted of technical data that were incorporated into the project's Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), which was approved in January 2006. As is customary, MWCOG/TPB updates its regional travel demand model and cooperative land use forecasts for the Metropolitan Washington region periodically. The findings of these analyses will enable MD SHA to "re-benchmark" the long-term performance of the ICC vis-à-vis a hypothetical "No-Build" Scenario using more recent modeling tools, land use and networks assumptions.

## Study Area

The project study area extends from I-270 in Montgomery County on the west to the Baltimore Washington Parkway (Route 295) in Prince George's County on the east, and from Patuxent River on the north to the Washington Beltway (I-495) on the south (Figure 1).

## Travel Demand Model Parameters

The Version 2.3 MWCOG/TPB model was calibrated based on the most recent 2007/2008 Household Travel Survey (HTS). It is also a tested and approved model as it was used for Air Quality Conformity Determinations for the 2011 CLRP and subsequently for the 2012 CLRP.

The MWCOG/TPB model is structured for regional transportation planning applications, and, when it is applied for subarea/corridor-level analyses, it is customarily enhanced to capture the finer grain level of detail necessary in such studies. Therefore, secondary roadway facilities were added to the highway network of the study area and


Figure 1 - Project Study Area
supplemental refinements were implemented in order for the model to validate more satisfactorily in the study area.

Prior to applying the model to the comparative analyses its performance was tested (i.e., model validation) by comparing model-generated link volumes to actual traffic count data (where available) for year 2010. Figure 2 illustrates the eleven screenlines drawn emulating major traffic corridors in the study area. "Estimated-to-observed volume ratios" were derived for these screenlines.

Where the screenlines did not provide adequate coverage, cutlines were drawn to supplement the screenline analysis by providing additional points of comparison of the model performance (Figure 3). The introduction of cutlines into the analyses did not skew the 2010 model validation results as the MWCOG/TPB model is validated for traffic volumes on freeways, expressways and major arterials.


Figure 2 - Screenlines in the Study Area


Figure 3 - Cutlines in the Study Area

## 2010 MODEL VALIDATION

Prior to fully integrating the selective model enhancements into the travel demand modeling processes for year 2040, the model was extensively tested for year 2010 conditions. These tests aimed to assess: (1) whether the selective and reasonable network enhancements in the study area alter the performance of the model in a meaningful way; (2) whether congestion levels - a proxy for comparison of operating speeds - were consistent with congested conditions based on traffic count data because the MWCOG/TPB model is not validated for link-level speeds; and (3) whether the "enhanced" model validated satisfactorily at the screenlines/cutlines in the study area

## Networks Utilization Comparisons

The network enhancements in the study area did not alter the overall roadway system utilization, which is measured in vehicle miles traveled (VMT). The difference between the "enhanced" and "original" model versions for year 2010 is merely 212,328 VMT apart, or a 0.1-percent of the total VMT in the study area (Table 1). When the network utilization levels are assessed in greater detail - by facility type - there is a 3.7 million VMT decrease in the freeway category and a simultaneous increase of 2.6 million VMT in the expressway category. This is attributed to a coding change of SR 295 (Baltimore Washington Parkway) from freeway in the "original" model to expressway in the "enhanced" model. In addition to VMT, several other network utilization parameters were assessed: auto and transit trips by trip purpose, VMT/Capita, VMT/Household, VMT/trip. The comparative data between the "original" (i.e., 2011 CLRP) and the "enhanced" (i.e., Validation model) are included in Table A1 in the Appendix.

| Facility Type | CLRP | Validation | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Freeway | $66,937,418$ | $63,225,453$ | $-3,711,965$ | $-5.5 \%$ |
| Major Arterial | $57,612,718$ | $57,817,730$ | 205,012 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Minor Arterial | $20,012,693$ | $20,552,107$ | 539,414 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Collector | $10,992,347$ | $10,951,990$ | $-40,357$ | $-0.4 \%$ |
| Expressway | $6,848,068$ | $9,492,815$ | $2,644,747$ | $38.6 \%$ |
| Ramp | $1,670,442$ | $1,821,263$ | 150,821 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Total VMT | $164,073,686$ | $163,861,358$ | $-212,328$ | $-0.1 \%$ |

Table 1-2010 VMT Comparisons

## Congestion/Operating Speeds Comparisons

A congestion index is used to assess percentages of the study area network under congested conditions, which are defined as follows: a congestion index of equal or greater than 1.3 reflected congested conditions; a congestion index of less than 1.3 reflected uncongested conditions. This index was chosen and calculated as the same with Travel Time Index (TTI) of INRIX, which is a real-time congestion measure. It was calculated by dividing the free-flow speed by congested speed. Comparisons between the model generated congestion estimates and INRIX-based TTI are shown in Table 2.

*Total mileage slightly differs due to access probihition during peak.
**AM peak: 8-9 am; Midday peak: 12-1 pm; PM peak: 5-6 pm; and Nighttime peak: 8-9 pm

Table 2-2010 Congestion Comparisons

Network congestion during the peak periods is evident by both the INRIX data and the model validation: during the AM Peak Period an estimated 44-55 percent of the network is congested, while the corresponding percentages during the PM Peak Period are 58-63 percent. The midday levels of congestion are 16-31 percent of the total network.

During the peak periods the model derived higher levels of congestion than the INRIX data indicate: for example, during the AM Peak Period the model estimates 55 percent of the study area network to be congested while the INRIX data estimate a 44-percent congested network. These estimates indicate that the model yields lower operating speeds than INRIX data indicate. Peak period congestion comparisons are graphically illustrated in Figures A1 and A2 in the Appendix. They illustrate consistent peak period congestion patterns but they differ in the levels of congestion.

## Screenline/Cutline Performance Comparisons

High functional class facilities - such as freeways and expressways - validate more satisfactorily than lower functional class roadways. This is attributed to the fact that the model is validated for major roadway facilities. For example, I-270, I-495 or the Baltimore-Washington Parkway show close fit within $+/-10$ percent while the estimated volumes fluctuate more in local roads in each screenline.

Table 3 reveals that the model tends to underestimate along most of the north-south
screenlines and to overestimate along the east-west screenlines. The overall model performance across all screenlines was at a positive six percent. Table A2 of the Appendix provides further detail on these comparisons.

| Screenline | Direction | Location | 2010 Counts | Estimated | Est/Cnts |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | North-South | East of I-270 | 473,240 | 428,221 | 0.90 |
| 2 | North-South | West of Connecticutt Ave | 364,140 | 395,452 | 1.09 |
| 3 | North-South | East of Georgia Ave | 311,724 | 339,150 | 1.09 |
| 4 | North-South | West of Columbia Pike | 468,694 | 461,638 | 0.98 |
| 5 | North-South | West of I-95 | 528,696 | 523,348 | 0.99 |
| 6 | North-South | West of Baltimore Ave | 455,982 | 393,504 | 0.86 |
| 7 | North-South | West of BW Pkwy | 336,326 | 299,039 | 0.89 |
| 8 | East-West | North of Beltway | $1,183,656$ | $1,322,656$ | 1.12 |
| 9 | East-West | South of ICC | 533,686 | 588,619 | 1.10 |
| 10 | East-West | Between Beltway and ICC | 814,678 | 929,368 | 1.14 |
| 11 | East-West | North of ICC | 655,780 | 801,540 | 1.22 |
| Total |  |  | $6,126,602$ | $6,482,536$ | 1.06 |

Table 3-2010 Model Validation at Screenlines

Cutline results in Table 4 reveals that volume comparisons show more increase and decrease in comparison to the screenline results as expected because the MWCOG/TPB model was not validated at the local road level. Overall the model tends to overestimate traffic volumes by six percent at cutlines.

| Cutline No | Cutline | 2010 Counts | Estimated | Est/Cnts |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | S of Falls Road | 65,614 | 42,953 | 0.65 |
| 2 | I-270 Spur | 316,826 | 352,302 | 1.11 |
| 3 | N of Rock Creek Trail | 147,094 | 159,961 | 1.09 |
| 4 | E of I-95 at Powder Mill Road | 42,896 | 47,748 | 1.11 |
| 5 | E of US 1 | 69,056 | 62,516 | 0.91 |
| 6 | N of North Bethesda | 150,552 | 136,960 | 0.91 |
| 7 | NE of North Bethesda | 119,880 | 138,380 | 1.15 |
| 8 | W of I-370 | 178,500 | 180,682 | 1.01 |
| 9 | N of I-370 | 39,740 | 32,692 | 0.82 |
| 10 | E of I-370 | 31,244 | 33,614 | 1.08 |
| 11 | NE of I-370 | 77,204 | 92,112 | 1.19 |
| 12 | E of I-95 at Laurel | 45,794 | 46,589 | 1.02 |
| 13 | S of Randolph Road | 128,332 | 158,272 | 1.23 |
| 14 | Wheaton Glenmont | 150,872 | 183,797 | 1.22 |
| 15 | E of MD 108: Clarksville | 62,896 | 59,044 | 0.94 |
| 16 | W of Germantown | 18,256 | 20,416 | 1.12 |
|  | Total | $1,644,756$ | $1,748,037$ | 1.06 |

Table 4-2010 Model Validation at Cutlines

## Travel Time Savings along Local Corridors

The model underestimates travel time on three local corridors when compared to actual travel time measured for the corridors before ICC is built (Table 5). Model travel times exhibit shorter travel time in most of corridor segments regardless of time periods; however, the comparison results should not be used to determine the performance of the Validation model. It is because the MWCOG/TPB model is a regional model neither validated for the small areas nor by travel speed but by travel volume in the region.

| Direction | Corridor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distance } \\ & \text { (in miles) } \end{aligned}$ | AM Travel Time |  |  | PM Travel Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SHA } \\ & \text { Data } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Validation } \end{gathered}$ | \% $\Delta$ | SHA <br> Data | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Validation } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% $\Delta$ |
|  | 1: MD 28 - Briggs Chaney Rd | 16.37 | 43 | 36 | -16.8\% | 46 | 44 | -4.3\% |
| EB | 2: Montrose Rd - MD 212 | 15.22 | 37 | 28 | -24.1\% | 46 | 41 | -10.9\% |
|  | 3: I-370-MD 198 | 19.12 | 47 | 41 | -13.2\% | 41 | 50 | 23.9\% |
|  | 1: Briggs Chaney Rd - MD 28 | 16.37 | 45 | 42 | -7.1\% | 40 | 41 | 0.5\% |
| WB | 2: MD 212 - Montrose Rd | 15.22 | 42 | 37 | -11.4\% | 37 | 34 | -7.5\% |
|  | 3: MD 198-I-370 | 19.12 | 44 | 49 | 10.5\% | 47 | 45 | -5.9\% |

Table 5 - Travel Time Comparisons of Local Corridors (in minutes)
Upon achieving satisfactory model performance for year 2010, the selective network enhancements were carried over to year 2040 scenario analyses.

## 2040 COMPARATIVE ANALYSES

## 2010-2040 Growth Patterns

During the 30 -year period the number of households in the study area is anticipated to increase by approximately 35 percent, which reflects an average annual growth of approximately $1.16 \%$. Jobs are forecasted to increase by 39 percent, which reflects an average annual growth of $1.30 \%$. During the same period the forecasted person-trips will also increase by $30 \%$, or approximately one percent per year, while transit trips will increase over $37 \%$, or approximately $1.23 \%$ annually. Among the various subcategories of transit, it is forecasted that commuter rail will increase at the fastest rate despite the fact that it represents a small market share of the total regional transit ridership.

During the same period the roadway system utilization - measured in VMT - is forecasted to increase by $32 \%$, or approximately one percent annually. The most rapid growth is anticipated along the collectors - an estimated growth of $46.5 \%$ - although collectors represent just 7.5\% of total VMT in the study area in 2040.

The fundamental trip generating characteristics of the households in the study area are expected to remain unchanged: the forecasted VMT per capita is expected to remain stable during the 30 year period as substantiated by the nominal growth of $1.6 \%$ for the
entire period; the average trip length per household is expected to be reduced by $2.3 \%$ as higher density development continues in close proximity to jobs or activity centers. All of the above statistics are tabulated in Table A3 in the Appendix.

## Network Congestion Comparisons

Congestion levels were assessed in four ways by comparing: (a) TTI indexes to measure the extent of congestion in the study area; (b) vehicle hours of delay (VHD) in the study area; (c) volume/capacity (V/C) ratios along key segments on ICC; and (d) travel time savings on local corridors.
a. Travel Time Index: Travel time index (TTI) was used as proxies to assess the extent of congestion in the study area during peak and off peak periods under the two scenarios (see Table 6): No build and Build. The construction of ICC would yield a potential reduction of 28 congested lane miles during the AM peak period and 26 lane miles during the PM peak period. The midday and nighttime time hours would have marginal changes in congested lane miles. This demonstrates that ICC would decrease congested lane miles on the major corridors of the study area, especially during peak periods.


Table 6-2040 Network Congestion Comparisons
b. Vehicle Hours of Delay:

Study Area: The construction of the ICC will benefit travelers in the immediate vicinity: the largest decline in vehicle hours of delay (VHD) is estimated for Montgomery County (i.e., 38,500 VHDs), followed by Prince George's County with a 12,200 VHD decrease. Overall, Maryland jurisdictions exhibit greater VHD reductions than jurisdictions farther away. Table A4 in the Appendix lists the VHD
changes throughout the MWCOG/TPB planning area.

Region: Upon establishing that the greatest congestion mitigation benefits of ICC are in its vicinity, regional benefits were assessed: ICC results in a decrease of approximately 58,000 VHDs regionally, which reflects a 1.7 percent reduction.

Time of Day: The construction of ICC would be mostly beneficial in mitigating congestion delay during the AM and PM peak periods (Table 7).

|  | Study Area |  |  |  | Regional |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | [Build] - [No Build] |  |  |  |  | [Build] - [No Build] |  |  |
| Time of Day | No Build | Build | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ | No Build | Build | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ |
| AM Peak | 154,208 | 141,774 | $-12,435$ | $-8.1 \%$ | $1,238,913$ | $1,218,858$ | $-20,056$ | $-1.6 \%$ |
| Midday | 54,277 | 50,976 | $-3,301$ | $-6.1 \%$ | 330,050 | 326,577 | $-3,473$ | $-1.1 \%$ |
| PM Peak | 239,851 | 217,583 | $-22,268$ | $-9.3 \%$ | $1,726,717$ | $1,692,723$ | $-33,994$ | $-2.0 \%$ |
| Nighttime | 10,170 | 9,946 | -223 | $-2.2 \%$ | 71,482 | 71,072 | -410 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| Total | 458,506 | 420,278 | $-38,227$ | $-8.3 \%$ | $3,367,162$ | $3,309,230$ | $-57,933$ | $-1.7 \%$ |

Table 7-2040 Vehicle Hour Delay Comparisons (Time-of-Day)
Vehicle Type: VHD comparisons between the two scenarios were undertaken by six vehicle types: single occupancy vehicles (SOVs), high occupancy vehicles ( $\mathrm{HOV}^{+2}$, $\mathrm{HOV}^{+3}$ ), commercial vehicles, trucks and airport passenger vehicles. These vehicle type categories are defaults in the TPB regional travel demand model. As such, they are viewed in the context of this study as proxies of certain markets of the traveling public and they were not meant to be directly linked to any particular HPMS vehicle class.

|  | Study Area |  |  |  | Regional |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [Build] - [No Build] |  |  |  | [Build] - [No Build] |  |  |  |
| Veh. Category | No Build | Build | $\Delta$ | \% $\Delta$ | No Build | Build | $\Delta$ | \% $\Delta$ |
| SOV | 294,370 | 271,664 | -22,706 | -7.7\% | 2,155,330 | 2,120,689 | -34,641 | -1.6\% |
| HOV2 | 70,807 | 64,295 | -6,512 | -9.2\% | 498,596 | 489,036 | -9,561 | -1.9\% |
| HOV3+ | 27,801 | 25,212 | -2,589 | -9.3\% | 205,009 | 200,587 | -4,423 | -2.2\% |
| Comm. Vehicle | 36,065 | 32,393 | -3,672 | -10.2\% | 276,098 | 270,620 | -5,478 | -2.0\% |
| Trucks | 24,860 | 22,766 | -2,094 | -8.4\% | 191,778 | 188,802 | -2,977 | -1.6\% |
| Airport Passenger | 4,598 | 3,942 | -655 | -14.3\% | 40,265 | 39,407 | -858 | -2.1\% |
| Total | 458,506 | 420,278 | -38,227 | -8.3\% | 3,367,162 | 3,309,230 | -57,933 | -1.7\% |

Table 8-2040 Delay Comparisons (Vehicle Category)
Table 8 exhibits the forecasted travel time savings to be realized in year 2040 by different markets of the traveling public when the ICC is fully constructed. In light of the proximity of the BWI airport to the study area, the Airport Passenger trips market segment was intentionally kept separate from the passenger car categories (i.e., SOV, $\mathrm{HOV}^{+2}, \mathrm{HOV}^{+3}$ ) despite the fact that in other applications it would have been integrated into the passenger trips market. In this case it was kept separate in
order to demonstrate that there will be substantial travel time savings for this market segment of the traveling public.

In terms of absolute numbers the single occupancy vehicle category exhibits the greatest reduction in VHD (i.e., 22,706 ) as it constitutes the largest market share of the traveling population. In terms of percentage reductions, the greatest reductions are observed for Airport Passenger trips (i.e., 14.3 percent) and Commercial Vehicles (i.e., 10.2 percent) although each type represents a rather moderate market share of the traveling population (Table 8).
c. Volume/Capacity Ratio:

Volume/Capacity (V/C) ratios of ICC segments will remain below capacity levels (i.e., V/C ratios remain below 0.88 throughout). It is an indication that ICC traffic volumes will most likely flow unimpeded, and that the ICC tolls are set at appropriate levels in order to maintain uncongested traffic flows. Detailed data of V/C ratios by segments, time of day and direction are illustrated in Figure A3 in the Appendix.

## d. Travel Time Savings on Local Corridors:

It is previously established that ICC is anticipated to reduce travel times in the study area. MD SHA requested that three local corridors be analyzed and the resulting travel time savings to be compared. The local corridors are: (1) MD 28 to Briggs Chaney Rd.; (2) Montrose Rd. to MD 212; (3) I-370 to MD 198 (Figure 4).


Figure 4 - Local Corridors for Travel Time Savings Comparisons
The most substantial time savings are associated with Corridor 3 as it yields an
estimated 15 minutes (on average) of time savings during each of the peak periods (Table 9). On a segment-by-segment basis the most substantial time savings occur at segments with direct connection to I-270. Detailed data that support this conclusion are presented in Figure A4 in the Appendix.

|  |  |  |  | Travel T |  |  | ravel T |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction | Corridor | Distance (in miles) | NoBuild | Build | \% $\triangle$ | NoBuild | Build | \% $\triangle$ |
|  | 1: MD 28 - Briggs Chaney Rd | 16.37 | 47 | 39 | -17.3\% | 59 | 47 | -19.6\% |
| EB | 2: Montrose Rd - MD 212 | 15.22 | 37 | 33 | -11.9\% | 57 | 47 | -17.4\% |
|  | 3: I-370-MD 198 | 19.12 | 55 | 39 | -28.6\% | 61 | 45 | -27.1\% |
|  | 1: Briggs Chaney Rd - MD 28 | 16.37 | 53 | 45 | -15.0\% | 52 | 43 | -16.9\% |
| WB | 2: MD 212 - Montrose Rd | 15.22 | 50 | 43 | -12.6\% | 44 | 39 | -11.6\% |
|  | 3: MD 198-1-370 | 19.43 | 57 | 43 | -24.8\% | 58 | 43 | -26.4\% |

Table 9 - Travels Time Savings on Local Corridors (in minutes)

## Network Utilization Comparisons

The utilization levels of the roadway system were assessed in the following ways: (a) by estimating travel demand on ICC on a segment-by-segment basis (b) by identifying key entrance/exit points along ICC (c) by measuring the overall usage of ICC in terms of VMT and (d) by comparing person trips in the study area (highway and transit).
a. Travel Demand on a Segment-by-Segment Basis:

Travel demand varies by segment along ICC (Figure 5). Heavier travel demands are derived for the following segments: I-370 to MD 97 (Georgia Ave.), and MD 650 (N. Hampshire Ave. to l-95). Each of these segments is anticipated to carry estimated average 38,000-46,000 vehicles per day per direction of travel. These segments are located close to I-370 and I-95 corridors. Single occupancy vehicles (SOV) consist of more than a half of total trips followed by commercial vehicle trips. The eastern end of ICC between I-95 and Virginia Manor Road is anticipated to carry a fraction of the forecasted traffic volumes of the other segments of ICC.

The daily traffic volume patterns of Figure 5 follow similar patterns to AM, PM and Midday traffic volume fluctuations by segment and direction of travel (Figure A5 in the Appendix). A larger percentage of non-SOV vehicle types are forecasted for the PM Peak Period in both directions of travel than any other time period.


Figure 5 - Average Weekday Projections for ICC (by Segment/Direction)

ICC offers marginal congestion relief on I-495 (the Washington Beltway) (Table 10). The ICC impacts were evaluated at Screenlines 1 through 7 shown in Figure 2. The projected traffic volume differences between the "No Build" and "Build" Scenarios are nominal, which substantiates that there is no substantial shift of traffic volumes between I-495 and ICC. Table A4 in the Appendix provides a complete account of traffic volume differences at all screenlines in the study area.

| Screenline | No Build | Build | $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 146,193 | 141,371 | $-4,822$ | 0.97 |
| 2 | 261,758 | 258,617 | $-3,142$ | 0.99 |
| 3 | 252,517 | 248,738 | $-3,779$ | 0.99 |
| 4 | 252,517 | 248,738 | $-3,779$ | 0.99 |
| 5 | 302,455 | 296,823 | $-5,632$ | 0.98 |
| 6 | 243,766 | 240,849 | $-2,917$ | 0.99 |
| 7 | 224,851 | 224,766 | -85 | 1.00 |

Table 10 - ICC Impact on I-495
b. Key Entrance/Exit Points:

During the AM Peak Period approximately 80,000 vehicles are projected to enter the ICC corridor at its west end (i.e., MD 355 and MD 97) in order to travel eastward. Approximately, 60,000 vehicles would exit at the east end of the corridor (i.e., US 29 and I-95). Similarly, approximately 90,000 vehicles are projected to enter the corridor at its east end (i.e., I-95, US 29, MD 650) in order to travel westward. Approximately, 98,000 vehicles would exit at the west end of the corridor (i.e., MD 182, MD 97 and MD 355) (Table 11).
(A) Eastbound

| Entering IC at ICC | Total | Share \% |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| MD 355 | 37,265 | $30 \%$ |
| MD 97 | 30,043 | $24 \%$ |
| MD 182 | 22,982 | $19 \%$ |
| MD 650 | 19,512 | $16 \%$ |
| US 29 | 11,877 | $10 \%$ |
| I-95 | 1,561 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 123,241 | $100 \%$ |


| Exiting IC at ICC | Total | Share \% |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| MD97 | 10,671 | $9 \%$ |
| MD 182 | 19,917 | $16 \%$ |
| MD 650 | 25,911 | $21 \%$ |
| US 29 | 29,414 | $24 \%$ |
| I-95 | 31,269 | $25 \%$ |
| US 1 | 6,059 | $5 \%$ |
| Total | 123,241 | $100 \%$ |

(B) Westbound

| Entering IC at ICC | Total | Share \% | Exiting IC at ICC | Total | Share \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 1 | 10,123 | 8\% | I-95 | 2,439 | 2\% |
| I-95 | 30,645 | 23\% | US 29 | 11,674 | 9\% |
| US 29 | 30,652 | 23\% | MD 650 | 19,945 | 15\% |
| MD 650 | 27,865 | 21\% | MD 182 | 23,761 | 18\% |
| MD 182 | 19,983 | 15\% | MD 97 | 31,252 | 24\% |
| MD 97 | 12,698 | 10\% | MD 355 | 42,896 | 33\% |
| Total | 131,967 | 100\% | Total | 131,967 | 100\% |

Table 11 - Key Entrance and Exit Points on ICC (AM Peak Period)
During the PM Peak Period approximately 140,000 vehicles are projected to enter the ICC corridor at its west end (i.e., MD 355 and MD 97) in order to travel eastward. Approximately, 130,000 vehicles would exit at the east end of the corridor (i.e., US 29 and I-95). Similarly, approximately 110,000 vehicles are projected to enter the corridor at its east end (i.e., I-95, US 29, MD 650) in order to travel westward. Approximately, 115,000 vehicles would exit at the west end of the corridor (i.e., MD 182, MD 97 and MD 355) (Table 12).

## c. Vehicle Miles of Travel Comparisons:

Study Area versus the Region: ICC is projected to have localized impacts in the study area as the majority of VMT increases are accounted for in the immediate vicinity (i.e., primarily Montgomery County and secondarily Howard County) (Table A5 in Appendix). At the regional level, ICC does not alter the regional VMT total as it adds
approximately $50,000 \mathrm{VMT}$ to a regional total of 217 million.
(A) Eastbound

| Entering IC at ICC | Total | Share \% | Exiting IC at ICC | Total | Share \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD 355 | 56,082 | 30\% | MD 97 | 16,845 | 9\% |
| MD 97 | 42,578 | 23\% | MD 182 | 27,828 | 15\% |
| MD 182 | 37,474 | 20\% | MD 650 | 38,018 | 20\% |
| MD 650 | 29,669 | 16\% | US 29 | 45,429 | 24\% |
| US 29 | 18,398 | 10\% | I-95 | 47,258 | 25\% |
| I-95 | 3,265 | 2\% | US 1 | 12,089 | 6\% |
| Total | 187,466 | 100\% | Total | 187,466 | 100\% |

(B) Westbound

| Entering IC at ICC | Total | Share \% |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| US 1 | 11,724 | $7 \%$ |
| I-95 | 39,607 | $25 \%$ |
| US 29 | 36,413 | $23 \%$ |
| MD 650 | 33,718 | $21 \%$ |
| MD 182 | 26,144 | $16 \%$ |
| MD 97 | 13,793 | $9 \%$ |
| Total | 161,398 | $100 \%$ |


| Exiting IC at ICC | Total | Share \% |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| I-95 | 3,263 | $2 \%$ |
| US 29 | 16,463 | $10 \%$ |
| MD 650 | 25,941 | $16 \%$ |
| MD 182 | 29,520 | $18 \%$ |
| MD 97 | 39,079 | $24 \%$ |
| MD 355 | 47,133 | $29 \%$ |
| Total | 161,398 | $100 \%$ |

Table 12 - Key Entrance and Exit Points on ICC (PM Peak Period)

Time of Day: ICC results in a four percent increase in the daily total VMT in the study area while the VMT increases during the AM and PM peak periods are approximately five percent. ICC does not have any measurable impacts on VMT in a regional context (Table 13).

|  | Study Area |  |  |  | Regional |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | [Build] - [No Build] |  |  |  |  | [Build] - [No Build] |  |  |
| Time of Day | No Build | Build |  | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ | No Build | Build | $\Delta$ |
| AM Peak | $4,275,408$ | $4,520,962$ | 245,554 | $5.7 \%$ | $41,036,302$ | $41,182,100$ | 145,798 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Midday | $7,639,954$ | $7,812,268$ | 172,314 | $2.3 \%$ | $66,608,065$ | $66,706,769$ | 98,704 | $0.1 \%$ |
| PM Peak | $6,414,136$ | $6,767,219$ | 353,084 | $5.5 \%$ | $61,219,868$ | $61,394,786$ | 174,919 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Nighttime | $5,747,668$ | $5,869,502$ | 121,834 | $2.1 \%$ | $47,619,468$ | $47,707,075$ | 87,606 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Total | $24,077,165$ | $24,969,952$ | 892,787 | $3.7 \%$ | $216,483,703$ | $216,990,730$ | 507,027 | $0.2 \%$ |

Table 13 - VMT Impacts by Time-of-Day
Vehicle Type: In absolute numbers single occupant vehicles (SOV) contribute most to the VMT increase in the study area and the region since they constitute the largest market segment of the traveling market. In terms of percentage increases, HOV3+ and Commercial Vehicles - despite being smaller segments of the traveling market exhibit larger percentage increases in 2040 in the "Build" scenario (Table 14).

|  | Study Area |  |  |  | Regional |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [Build] - [No Build] |  |  |  |  |  | [Build] - [No Build] |  |
| Vehicle Category | No Build | Build | $\Delta$ | \% $\Delta$ | No Build | Build | $\Delta$ | \% $\Delta$ |
| SOV | 14,690,370 | 15,208,740 | 518,369 | 3.5\% | 126,740,240 | 127,061,987 | 321,747 | 0.3\% |
| HOV2 | 3,898,251 | 3,997,427 | 99,176 | 2.5\% | 34,299,732 | 34,327,682 | 27,950 | 0.1\% |
| HOV3+ | 1,757,812 | 1,874,296 | 116,483 | 6.6\% | 18,069,568 | 18,134,463 | 64,895 | 0.4\% |
| Comm. Veh. | 1,827,222 | 1,942,830 | 115,608 | 6.3\% | 17,870,105 | 17,956,845 | 86,740 | 0.5\% |
| Trucks | 1,645,275 | 1,676,233 | 30,958 | 1.9\% | 16,253,064 | 16,269,589 | 16,525 | 0.1\% |
| Airport Passenger | 258,235 | 270,427 | 12,192 | 4.7\% | 3,250,992 | 3,240,162 | -10,830 | -0.3\% |
| Total | 24,077,165 | 24,969,952 | 892,787 | 3.7\% | 216,483,703 | 216,990,730 | 507,027 | 0.2\% |

Table 14 - VMT Impacts by Vehicle Category
d. Person Trips Comparisons:

In the long run, ICC will not alter the total number of person trips in the study area, as the difference in person trips between the two scenarios was estimated at 3,163 person trips when the total person trips in the study area is forecasted to be around 24.5 million regardless of the scenario (Table A3 in the Appendix). Similarly, ICC will not alter the overall transit ridership in the study area as the transit ridership difference between the two scenarios was estimated at 9,706 person trips when the total transit ridership in the study area is forecasted to be around 1.5 million. The nominal transit ridership increase was attributed to the availability of express BRT bus service on ICC or the availability of local bus routes with connections to the BRT (Table A5 in the Appendix).

Six express bus routes and five local bus routes with connections to the express bus service are assumed in the modeling process. Express bus ridership is measured by comparing transit ridership change in mode choice with and without ICC in 2040. The ridership was summarized by an origin-destination matrix based on 11 travel markets in the study area. Detailed trip exchanges among the travel markets are shown in Table A7 in the Appendix.

## Jobs Accessibility Comparisons

Accessibility to jobs was assessed in three ways by: (a) by how many jobs become accessible within a 45-minute highway travel and transit travel (b) by the OriginsDestinations of ICC users (c) by comparing travel time savings among travel markets in the study area and the BWI airport (d) by comparing travel costs.
a. Improved Jobs Accessibility by Highway and Transit:

Improved job accessibility was measured during the AM Peak Period by two travel modes: highway and transit. Jobs accessibility improvement is measured by how many jobs could be accessed from one Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) within 45 minutes of travel. Jobs accessibility is especially improved in the area north of Olney, White Oaks, Laurel, Konterra, and Shady Grove (Figures 6 and 7).


Figure 6 - Improved Jobs Accessibility (Highway)


Figure 7-Improved Jobs Accessibility (Transit)
b. Origins-Destinations of ICC Users:

Trip origins and destinations were assessed and it is concluded that:

- There is no clear directionality of travel during the peak periods
- There is a balanced travel demand (i.e. trip production and attraction pattern) for eastbound and westbound trip making on ICC during the peak periods;
- ICC serves as a connector of two corridors: I-270/I-370 and I-95.
(a) Eastbound

| Travel Market | Production |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Trips | $\%$ Trips |
| Remaining Mont. Co | 67,207 | $55 \%$ |
| Gaithersburg Area | 25,197 | $20 \%$ |
| Frederick Co. Area | 7,903 | $6 \%$ |
| All Others | 22,934 | $19 \%$ |
| Total | 123,241 |  |


| Travel Market | Attraction |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trips | \% Trips |
| External | 36,637 | $30 \%$ |
| Laurel Area | 22,158 | $18 \%$ |
| Remaining PG Co. | 15,212 | $12 \%$ |
| All Others | 49,233 | $40 \%$ |
| Total | 123,241 |  |

(b) Westbound

| Travel Market | Production |  | Travel Market | Attraction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trips | \% Trips |  | Trips | \% Trips |
| External | 37,315 | 28\% | Gaithersburg Area | 48,112 | 36\% |
| Remaining PG Co. | 22,622 | 17\% | Remaining Mont. Co | 43,756 | 33\% |
| Anne Arundel Co. Area | 20,566 | 16\% | Rockville Area | 19,772 | 15\% |
| All Others | 51,463 | 39\% | All Others | 20,326 | 15\% |
| Total | 131,966 |  | Total | 131,966 |  |

Table 15 - Trip Exchanges among Study Area Travel Markets (AM Peak Period)
(a) Eastbound

| Travel Market | Production |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | Trips | \% Trips |
| Remaining Mont. Co | 68,020 | $36 \%$ |
| Gaithersburg Area | 57,745 | $31 \%$ |
| Rockville Area | 25,386 | $14 \%$ |
| All Others | Total | 187,315 |
| 1866 | $19 \%$ |  |


| Travel Market | Attraction |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Trips | \% Trips |
| External | 62,516 | $33 \%$ |
| Remaining PG Co. | 29,291 | $16 \%$ |
| Laurel Area | 23,594 | $13 \%$ |
| All Others | 72,065 | $38 \%$ |
| Total | 187,466 |  |

(b) Westbound

| Travel Market | Production |  | Travel Market | Attraction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trips | \% Trips |  | Trips | \% Trips |
| External | 47,791 | 30\% | Remaining Mont. Co | 75,678 | 47\% |
| Laurel Area | 30,015 | 19\% | Gaithersburg Area | 41,317 | 26\% |
| Remaining PG Co. | 24,854 | 15\% | Rockville Area | 10,979 | 7\% |
| All Others | 58,739 | 36\% | All Others | 33,425 | 21\% |
| Total | 161,398 |  | Total | 161,398 |  |

Table 16 - Trip Exchanges among Study Area Travel Markets (PM Peak Period)

Peak period eastbound ICC trips are mainly produced at travel markets along the I270 corridor (i.e., Gaithersburg and Rockville); they are attracted by travel markets on the east end of the corridor such as the vicinity of I-95, Laurel, Prince George's County areas and locales further east (i.e., externals to the study area). Westbound trips have a reverse pattern to the eastbound productions/attractions. Tables 15 and 16 show the exchanges among travel markets in the study area during peak periods.
c. Travel Time Between Travel Markets:

Travel markets for this study were selected from a broad list of activity centers developed at a regional forum by Planning Directors in the MWCOG/TPB planning area under the auspices of the MWCOG Planning Directors Technical Advisory Committee, and inputs from the MD SHA staff. Since the travel markets of this study consist of groupings of abutting TAZs, a centrally-located TAZ within each grouping was considered as a representative of the group and its travel time from the central TAZ of the other groupings was considered as representative of the entire group. The travel markets of this study are shown in Figure 8.


Figure 8 - Travel Markets in the Study Area
ICC has the potential to reduce travel time among travel markets in the study area (Table 17). The most notable travel time savings during the AM peak period is between Gaithersburg and Laurel (i.e., 31 minutes). Trips originating at Gaithersburg or Laurel with destinations in Colesville, White Oak, Konterra, Laurel or BWI have travel time savings potential of over 20 minutes

|  |  | DESTINATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gaithersburg | Rockville | Shady Grove | Olney | W-Glenmont | Colesville | White Oak | College Park | Konterra | Laurel | BWI |
|  | Gaithersburg |  | 19/19 | 17/17 | 25/23 | 41/29 | 48/27 | 54/35 | 67/64 | 58/34 | 64/33 | 93/67 |
|  | Rockville | 9/9 |  | 14/13 | 25/23 | 27/23 | 34/24 | 39/36 | 56/51 | 43/38 | 53/30 | 83/72 |
|  | Shady Grove | 17/16 | 24/21 |  | 13/12 | 32/26 | 38/27 | 44/35 | 65/59 | 48/35 | 52/40 | 80/70 |
|  | Olney | 33/27 | 40/34 | 18/15 |  | 32/27 | 32/26 | 38/35 | 61/58 | 42/35 | 44/34 | 70/64 |
| n | W-Glenmont | 33/21 | 28/24 | 22/17 | 13/14 |  | 9/9 | 13/14 | 33/33 | 18/16 | 29/20 | 62/55 |
| $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | Colesville | 44/21 | 40/35 | 32/21 | 16/15 | 13/12 |  | 10/10 | 33/32 | 11/10 | 22/14 | 55/48 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | White Oak | 48/25 | 43/38 | 35/25 | 20/19 | 15/15 | 7/7 |  | 26/26 | 11/11 | 23/17 | 56/52 |
|  | College Park | 49/35 | 46/41 | 45/35 | 30/30 | 23/23 | 18/18 | 14/15 |  | 11/11 | 22/21 | 55/55 |
|  | Konterra | 54/30 | 49/43 | 42/29 | 27/24 | 22/20 | 11/9 | 15/15 | 26/25 |  | 13/12 | 46/46 |
|  | Laurel | 68/35 | 65/43 | 53/41 | 37/30 | 41/32 | 30/24 | 35/32 | 45/44 | 21/20 |  | 35/36 |
|  | BWI | 137/113 | 135/128 | 122/115 | 106/103 | 113/110 | 102/101 | 107/108 | 117/119 | 93/96 | 76/79 |  |

Table 17 - Travel Time Savings among Study Area Travel Markets (AM Peak Period)

In general, ICC can: (a) improve accessibility and travel reliability of trips in the study area in general, and, specifically, for the drivers travelling east and west destinations along I-270 and I-95 corridors; (b) more substantial travel time savings could be realized by long-distance travelers on ICC such as trips to the BWI airport.
d. Travel Costs:

ICC is a managed lane facility whose tolls are determined by the Maryland Transportation Authority. Since the tolls are not set in such a way as to yield freeflow travel conditions, motorists on ICC may experience varying levels of congestion. This toll setting approach is different than what applies in neighboring Virginia where tolls on the I-495 managed lanes are variable and dependent on travel demand. They are variable as travel demand fluctuates by time of day and direction of travel and they are set at such levels to ensure free-flow speeds.

The toll setting methodology used as part of the MWCOG/TPB regional travel demand model is dependent on travel demand and it emulates the Virginia toll setting approach. Being a standard feature of the TPB regional travel demand model it is inherent in all of the TPB's travel demand model applications (e.g., air quality conformity, regional transportation planning, corridor studies etc.). In this context it was used in this study despite the fact that the ICC tolls are determined by the Maryland Transportation Authority. The variability of the tolls enabled the study team to: (a) assess if tolls on ICC are set at appropriate levels to yield free-flow speeds; and (b) provide a data-based justification for potential adjustment(s) of the toll rates in order to yield free-flow travel conditions. The latter would have applied if current ICC tolls were found to be too low.

The analyses concluded that toll rates for peak and off-peak periods for year 2040 are comparable to current toll levels, which will enable ICC users to travel at freeflow speeds along the entire length of the facility, at all time periods. The highest forecasted Volume/Capacity (V/C) ratio was 0.9 for year 2040. The year 2040 peak period toll rate for the ICC segment between Georgia Avenue and I-370 was forecasted to be $\$ 1.43$ (in 2010 dollars). The current toll rate for the same segment is $\$ 1.45^{1}$. Forecasted ICC tolls are shown in Tables A8 and A9 in the Appendix. Travel distances by segments of ICC are shown in Table A10 in the Appendix.
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## Air Quality Impacts Comparisons

The air quality impacts of the ICC in the study area and the region were assessed using the MOBILE6.2 emissions estimating model. The geographical areas analyzed for Ozone day pollutants (i.e., Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) and NOx), Fine Particles (i.e., Direct PM2.5 and Precursor NOx), and Winter CO are shown in Figure 9. The emissions estimates for the two scenarios are shown in Table 18.

With respect to Ozone pollutants (i.e., VOC and NOx) modest increases of $0.028 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ and $0.163 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ respectively are projected for Montgomery County. They reflect increases of $0.5 \%$ for VOC and $3.3 \%$ for NOx. The emissions differences between the two scenarios are negligible for the remaining counties in southern Maryland.

With respect to Fine Particle pollutants (i.e., Direct PM2.5 and Precursor NOx) moderate increases of $3.8 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{y}$ and $64.6 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{y}$ respectively are projected for Montgomery County. They reflect increases of $2.9 \%$ for Direct PM2.5 and $3.6 \%$ for Precursor NOx respectively. The emissions differences between the two scenarios are negligible for the remaining counties in southern Maryland.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions reflect a group of pollutants, which depending on the definition, they represent either atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2), or total CO2 equivalent, which includes CO 2 , methane ( CH 4 ) and nitrous oxide (N2O). Moderate increases in CO2 are projected for Montgomery County due to the construction of ICC, an increase that is equal to $236,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{y}$ or a $4 \%$ increase comparatively to the No Build scenario. The emissions differences between the two scenarios are negligible for the remaining counties in southern Maryland.


Figure 9 - Non Attainment Areas for Different Criteria Pollutants

8-HourOzone (VOC) Regional Emissions Comparisons (tons/day)

| Jurisdiction | 2040 No Build | 2040 Build | [2040 Build] - [2040 No Build] |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ |
| Mont. Co. | 5.43 | 5.46 | 0.028 | $0.5 \%$ |
| PG's Co. | 5.56 | 5.53 | -0.023 | $-0.4 \%$ |
| Fred. Co. | 2.34 | 2.33 | -0.007 | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Calvert Co. | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.000 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Charles Co. | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.000 | $0.0 \%$ |
| D.C. | 3.43 | 3.41 | -0.020 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| Other VA Jurs. | 14.49 | 14.49 | -0.007 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regional Total | 32.816 | 32.787 | -0.029 | $-0.1 \%$ |

## 8-Hour Ozone (NOx) Regional Emissions Comparisons (tons/day)

| Jurisdiction | 2040 No Build | 2040 Build | [2040 Build] - [2040 No Build] |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ |
| Mont. Co. | 4.90 | 5.06 | 0.163 | $3.3 \%$ |
| PG's Co. | 5.60 | 5.60 | -0.004 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Fred. Co. | 2.59 | 2.58 | -0.006 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| Calvert Co. | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.001 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Charles Co. | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.000 | $0.0 \%$ |
| D.C. | 2.70 | 2.69 | -0.015 | $-0.6 \%$ |
| Other VA Jurs. | 14.50 | 14.50 | -0.003 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regional Total | 31.674 | 31.810 | 0.136 | $0.4 \%$ |

Fine Particle (Direct PM2.5) Regional Emissions Comparisons (tons/year)

| Jurisdiction | 2040 No Build | 2040 Build | [2040 Build] - [2040 No Build] |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ |
| Mont. Co. | 130.8 | 134.7 | 3.8 | $2.9 \%$ |
| PG's Co. | 141.7 | 141.7 | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Fred. Co. | 67.2 | 67.0 | -0.2 | $-0.4 \%$ |
| Charles Co. | 23.1 | 23.1 | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| D.C. | 60.8 | 60.1 | -0.6 | $-1.0 \%$ |
| Other VA Jurs. | 331.8 | 331.8 | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regional Total | 755.5 | 758.4 | 2.9 | $0.4 \%$ |

Fine Particle (Precursor NOx) Regional Emissions Comparisons (tons/year)

| Jurisdiction | 2040 No Build | 2040 Build | $[2040$ Build] - [2040 No Build] |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ |
| Mont. Co. | $1,792.1$ | $1,856.6$ | 64.6 | $3.6 \%$ |
| PG's Co. | $2,053.9$ | $2,053.3$ | -0.5 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Fred. Co. | 967.5 | 965.2 | -2.3 | $-0.2 \%$ |
| Charles Co. | 324.2 | 324.2 | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| D.C. | 980.0 | 974.7 | -5.3 | $-0.5 \%$ |
| Other VA Jurs. | $5,445.4$ | $5,443.9$ | -1.6 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Regional Total | $11,563.0$ | $11,617.9$ | 54.9 | $0.5 \%$ |

GHG Regional Emissions Comparisons (tons/year) *

| Jurisdiction | 2040 No Build | 2040 Build | [2040 Build] - [2040 No Build] |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | $\Delta$ | $\% \Delta$ |
| Mont. Co. | $5,848,860$ | $6,084,601$ | 235,741 | $4.0 \%$ |
| PG's Co. | $6,347,892$ | $6,344,862$ | $-3,029$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Fred. Co. | $2,998,803$ | $2,991,567$ | $-7,235$ | $-0.2 \%$ |
| Charles Co. | $1,033,396$ | $1,033,396$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Calvert Co. | 594,804 | 595,408 | 604 | $0.1 \%$ |
| D.C. | $2,690,424$ | $2,676,216$ | $-14,208$ | $-0.5 \%$ |
| Other VA Jurs. | 14863141.56 | $14,857,760$ | $-5,382$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | $34,377,320$ | $34,583,810$ | 206,490 | $0.6 \%$ |

*includes CO 2 , Methane ( CH 4 ) and Nitro Oxide (N2O).
Table 18 - Air Quality Impacts Comparisons

## CONCLUSIONS

The long term (Year 2040) impacts of ICC were modeled by comparing two scenarios: No Build and Build scenarios. After validating the MWCOG/TPB regional travel demand model on year 2010 data, travel forecasts were developed across a broad range of performance measures. The analyses focused on estimating differences between the two scenarios in four broad categories: (a) Congestion Mitigation (b) Network Utilization (c) Jobs accessibility and Travel Costs (d) Regional Air Quality Impacts. In parallel, a comparison of the operational conditions in the study area was undertaken before the first phase of ICC was constructed and after it was opened to traffic.

The travel indicators assessed as part of this study converge to the same conclusion: MD 200 Intercounty Connector (ICC) yields substantial benefits in its immediate vicinity (i.e., study area) by reducing spatial and temporal congestion, by providing a connecting corridor between I-270, I-95 and the BWI airport further away, by increasing the number of accessible jobs by highway and transit modes at competitive toll rates. All of these benefits are achieved at the cost of nominally increased criteria pollutant and GHG emissions in the region. The beneficial effects of ICC, however, dissipate with distance from the facility. Key study findings are as follows:

## Network Congestion Comparisons:

1. Volume/Capacity are forecast to remain at 0.88 or below, indicating that traffic will most likely flow unimpeded at all times
2. ICC will decrease congested lane-miles in the study area based on Travel Time Index; the congestion relief is projected to be more noticeable during peak periods
3. Neighboring MD jurisdictions will experience the most congestion relief is indicated by decreases of vehicle hours of delay (VHD) as opposed to jurisdictions further away which will experience marginal reductions in VHD. Montgomery County will benefit mots with a 38,500 VHD reduction, followed by Prince George's County with a 12,200 VHD reduction. VHD reductions are more prominent during peak periods,
for single occupancy vehicles and percentage-wise for trucks and BWI airportdestined vehicles
4. ICC will yield travel times reductions on local streets and or corridors; among three parallel corridors to ICC investigated for travel time savings the northernmost yielded the most significant travel time savings

## Network Utilization Comparisons:

1. The construction of ICC is projected to have localized impacts in the study area as most of the resulting VMT increase is limited to Montgomery County. Regionally VMT will increase by a marginal 0.2\%
2. Two ICC segments carry most of daily travel flows: a section between I-370 and MD 97 (Georgia Avenue) and a section between MD 650 (N. Hampshire Avenue) and I-95
3. Single occupancy vehicles comprise about half of total daily trips on ICC followed by commercial trips; HOV3+ trips comprise less than $10 \%$. The segment between I-95 and US 1 carries the least traffic volumes (i.e., 25-33\% of the daily total)
4. During peak periods approximately half of eastbound ICC traffic enters at the MD 355 and MD 97 interchanges and exits at I-95 and US 29; the westbound traffic has a reverse travel pattern
5. The construction of the ICC will not significantly change the total number of person trips in the study area; premium bus rapid transit (BRT) service will marginally increase transit ridership in the study area.

## Jobs Accessibility Comparisons:

1. The construction of ICC improves jobs accessibility in the study area, especially in the core between I-270 and I-95; subareas with significantly improved accessibility are Olney North, White Oaks, Laurel, Konterra, and Shady Grove
2. Projected tolls to ensure free-flow conditions ICC tolls are at comparable levels to present time; modeled peak period tolls were estimated at $\$ 1.43$ while current toll is $\$ 1.45$ for a motorist traveling between MD 97(Georgia Avenue) and I-370.

## Air Quality Impacts Comparisons

The construction of ICC yields nominal increases in criteria pollutant emissions in the study area and the region. In terms of Ozone Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) there is no measurable change in the levels of VOC between the two scenarios; a nominal increase in Montgomery County is balanced by nominal decreases in Prince George's and Frederick Counties. In terms of Ozone NOx there is a nominal increase of $0.163 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ in Montgomery County and nominal decreases in Prince George's, Frederick, Calvert, and Charles Counties. In terms of Fine Particles, there are increases of $3.8 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{y}$ of Direct PM2.5 and $64.6 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{y}$ in Precursor NOx in Montgomery County and nominal decreases in Frederick County. Montgomery County shows a small increase in annual CO2 emissions equal to 236,000 tons or a four percent.
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| Screenline 1 | Observed | Estimated | Est/Obs | Screenline 8 | Observed | Estimated | Est/Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-495 Spur | 138,832 | 134,123 | 0.97 | I-270 | 282,360 | 312,009 | 1.11 |
| Montrose Rd | 54,860 | 50,312 | 0.92 | Old Georgetown Rd | 45,252 | 50,634 | 1.12 |
| Great Falls Rd | 10,004 | 15,756 | 1.57 | Rockville Pike | 59,232 | 57,119 | 0.96 |
| W Montgomery ave | 28,092 | 28,264 | 1.01 | Connecticut Ave | 47,990 | 60,104 | 1.25 |
| 1-370 | 83,812 | 39,923 | 0.48 | Seminary Rd | 7,252 | 9,487 | 1.31 |
| W Diamond Ave | 10,964 | 19,133 | 1.75 | Georgia Ave | 87,020 | 77,426 | 0.89 |
| Montgomery Village Ave | 84,292 | 77,888 | 0.92 | Sligo Creek Pkwy | 9,112 | 4,655 | 0.51 |
| Middlebrook Rd | 31,542 | 36,765 | 1.17 | Colesville Rd | 66,640 | 87,771 | 1.32 |
| Germantown Rd | 30,842 | 26,057 | 0.84 | University Blvd E | 38,580 | 69,994 | 1.81 |
| Total | 473,240 | 428,221 | 0.90 | New Hampshire Ave | 59,100 | 87,047 | 1.47 |
| Screenline 2 |  |  |  | Riggs Rd | 18,182 | 24,664 | 1.36 |
| I-495 | 253,340 | 242,991 | 0.96 | I-95 | 199,920 | 236,518 | 1.18 |
| Veirs Mill Rd | 42,180 | 49,715 | 1.18 | Baltimore Ave | 45,610 | 63,344 | 1.39 |
| Randolph Rd | 32,140 | 55,702 | 1.73 | Cherrywood Ln | 10,372 | 6,974 | 0.67 |
| Olney Laytonsville Rd | 36,480 | 47,044 | 1.29 | Kenilworth Ave | 36,152 | 40,386 | 1.12 |
| Total | 364,140 | 395,452 | 1.09 | Greenbelt Rd | 52,600 | 39,066 | 0.74 |
| Screenline 3 |  |  |  | BW Pkwy | 118,282 | 95,457 | 0.81 |
| I-495 | 246,920 | 234,197 | 0.95 | Total | 1,183,656 | 1,322,656 | 1.12 |
| Forest Glen Rd | 14,260 | 5,520 | 0.39 | Screenline 9 |  |  |  |
| University Blvd | 30,760 | 47,893 | 1.56 | 1-270 | 278,072 | 306,668 | 1.10 |
| Ashton Rd | 13,712 | 31,844 | 2.32 | E Jefferson St | 24,142 | 16,337 | 0.68 |
| Brighton Dam Rd | 6,072 | 19,697 | 3.24 | Powder Mill Rd | 31,552 | 29,097 | 0.92 |
| Total | 311,724 | 339,150 | 1.09 | I-95 | 199,920 | 236,518 | 1.18 |
| Screenline 4 |  |  |  | Total | 533,686 | 588,619 | 1.10 |
| 1-495 | 246,920 | 234,197 | 0.95 | Screenline 10 |  |  |  |
| University Blvd | 40,980 | 65,793 | 1.61 | I-270 | 237,160 | 231,704 | 0.98 |
| New Hampshire Ave | 45,412 | 59,406 | 1.31 | Shady Grove Rd | 57,060 | 41,816 | 0.73 |
| Spencerville Rd | 37,542 | 38,110 | 1.02 | Redland Rd | 10,982 | 5,118 | 0.47 |
| Scaggsville Rd | 19,310 | 17,184 | 0.89 | Georgia Ave | 44,730 | 57,199 | 1.28 |
| MD 32 | 78,530 | 46,948 | 0.60 | Norbeck Rd | 26,212 | 32,764 | 1.25 |
| Total | 468,694 | 461,638 | 0.98 | Layhill Rd | 12,102 | 27,641 | 2.28 |
| Screenline 5 |  |  |  | New Hampshire Ave | 37,780 | 58,419 | 1.55 |
| I-495 | 258,080 | 285,242 | 1.11 | Columbia Pike | 62,630 | 100,618 | 1.61 |
| Cherry Hill Rd | 22,532 | 16,761 | 0.74 | I-95 | 195,000 | 233,790 | 1.20 |
| Sandy Spring Rd | 49,242 | 59,114 | 1.20 | Baltimore Ave | 34,580 | 57,671 | 1.67 |
| Scaggsville Rd | 23,160 | 32,656 | 1.41 | BW Pkwy | 96,442 | 82,627 | 0.86 |
| MD 32 | 105,880 | 68,394 | 0.65 | Total | 814,678 | 929,368 | 1.14 |
| Patuxent Pkwy | 69,802 | 61,181 | 0.88 | Screenline 11 |  |  |  |
| Total | 528,696 | 523,348 | 0.99 | I-270 | 167,220 | 193,299 | 1.16 |
| Screenline 6 |  |  |  | Frederick Rd | 33,432 | 38,899 | 1.16 |
| I-495 | 240,892 | 219,239 | 0.91 | Woodfield Rd | 12,452 | 15,963 | 1.28 |
| Powder Mill Rd | 21,142 | 13,680 | 0.65 | Laytonsville Rd | 8,720 | 20,934 | 2.40 |
| Contee Rd | 15,402 | 9,973 | 0.65 | Damascus Rd | 2,940 | 4,260 | 1.45 |
| Gorman Ave | 42,874 | 42,342 | 0.99 | Clarksville Pike | 15,760 | 26,882 | 1.71 |
| MD 32 | 84,390 | 52,805 | 0.63 | Pindell School Rd | 4,252 | 6,649 | 1.56 |
| Patuxent Pkwy | 51,282 | 55,463 | 1.08 | Sanner Rd | 4,000 | 7,253 | 1.81 |
| Total | 455,982 | 393,504 | 0.86 | Columbia Pike | 75,600 | 92,673 | 1.23 |
| Screenline 7 |  |  |  | I-95 | 198,752 | 245,361 | 1.23 |
| I-495 | 234,812 | 199,427 | 0.85 | Washington Blvd N | 41,640 | 53,518 | 1.29 |
| Laurel Bowie Rd | 60,250 | 48,234 | 0.80 | BW Pkwy | 91,012 | 95,848 | 1.05 |
| Laurel Fort Meade Rd | 41,264 | 51,378 | 1.25 | Total | 655,780 | 801,540 | 1.22 |

Table A1 - Model Validation: Detailed Screenline Comparison


Figure A1 - Model Validation: Network Congestion AM Peak Hour 8:00-9:00 AM


Figure A2 - Model Validation: Network Congestion PM Peak Hour 5:00-6:00 PM

| Model Stage | 2010_Validation | 2040 Scenarios |  | Growth [2010 to 2040 No Build] |  | [2040 Build] - [2040 No Build] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No Build | Build | $\Delta$ | \% $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | \% $\Delta$ |
| Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households | 2,486,943 | 3,362,449 | 3,362,449 | 875,506 | 35.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Jobs | 3,921,510 | 5,456,960 | 5,456,960 | 1,535,450 | 39.2\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| HH Population | 6,481,887 | 8,457,053 | 8,457,053 | 1,975,166 | 30.5\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| HH \& GQ Population | 6,624,765 | 8,618,547 | 8,618,547 | 1,993,782 | 30.1\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Mode Choice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HBW person | 3,814,963 | 5,078,172 | 5,077,380 | 1,263,209 | 33.1\% | -792 | 0.0\% |
| HBS person | 3,076,814 | 3,949,950 | 3,949,599 | 873,136 | 28.4\% | -351 | 0.0\% |
| HBO person | 7,107,603 | 9,104,695 | 9,103,613 | 1,997,092 | 28.1\% | -1,082 | 0.0\% |
| NHW person | 1,660,780 | 2,198,313 | 2,198,030 | 537,533 | 32.4\% | -283 | 0.0\% |
| NHO person | 3,154,820 | 4,128,478 | 4,127,823 | 973,658 | 30.9\% | -655 | 0.0\% |
| ALL person | 18,814,980 | 24,459,607 | 24,456,444 | 5,644,627 | 30.0\% | -3,163 | 0.0\% |
| HBW_Transit | 771,643 | 1,049,750 | 1,052,787 | 278,107 | 36.0\% | 3,037 | 0.3\% |
| HBS_Transit | 25,814 | 30,959 | 31,929 | 5,145 | 19.9\% | 970 | 3.1\% |
| HBO_Transit | 203,610 | 259,276 | 262,750 | 55,666 | 27.3\% | 3,474 | 1.3\% |
| NHW_Transit | 101,798 | 165,081 | 166,621 | 63,283 | 62.2\% | 1,540 | 0.9\% |
| NHO_Transit | 42,060 | 62,937 | 63,623 | 20,877 | 49.6\% | 686 | 1.1\% |
| All_Transit | 1,144,924 | 1,568,004 | 1,577,710 | 423,080 | 37.0\% | 9,706 | 0.6\% |
| HBW_Transit \% | 20.23 | 20.67 | 20.73 | 0.44 | - | 0.06 | - |
| HBS_Transit \% | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.81 | -0.06 | - | 0.03 | - |
| HBO_Transit \% | 2.86 | 2.85 | 2.89 | -0.01 | - | 0.04 | - |
| NHW_Transit \% | 6.13 | 7.51 | 7.58 | 1.38 | - | 0.07 | - |
| NHO_Transit \% | 1.33 | 1.52 | 1.54 | 0.19 | - | 0.02 | - |
| ALL_Transit \% | 6.09 | 6.41 | 6.45 | 0.32 | - | 0.04 | - |
| MetroOnly | 518,942 | 743,590 | 742,163 | 224,648 | 43.3\% | -1,427 | -0.2\% |
| Bus_Metro | 222,408 | 266,805 | 268,124 | 44,397 | 20.0\% | 1,319 | 0.5\% |
| Comm_Rail | 19,189 | 37,244 | 37,509 | 18,055 | 94.1\% | 265 | 0.7\% |
| Bus_Only | 384,385 | 520,365 | 529,913 | 135,980 | 35.4\% | 9,548 | 1.8\% |
| ALL_Auto person | 17,670,055 | 22,891,603 | 22,878,735 | 5,221,548 | 29.6\% | -12,868 | -0.1\% |
| ALL_Auto driver | 13,595,267 | 17,360,375 | 17,347,642 | 3,765,108 | 27.7\% | -12,733 | -0.1\% |
| Total_Vehicle_Trips | 16,459,248 | 21,217,241 | 21,204,464 | 4,757,993 | 28.9\% | -12,777 | -0.1\% |
| Total_VMT | 163,861,358 | 216,483,703 | 216,990,730 | 52,622,345 | 32.1\% | 507,027 | 0.2\% |
| Freeway | 63,225,453 | 85,660,305 | 86,842,485 | 22,434,852 | 35.5\% | 1,182,180 | 1.4\% |
| Major Arterial | 57,817,730 | 70,429,976 | 70,083,739 | 12,612,246 | 21.8\% | -346,237 | -0.5\% |
| Minor Arterial | 20,552,107 | 29,266,721 | 29,091,115 | 8,714,614 | 42.4\% | -175,606 | -0.6\% |
| Collector | 10,951,990 | 16,039,552 | 15,935,772 | 5,087,562 | 46.5\% | -103,780 | -0.6\% |
| Expressway | 9,492,815 | 12,887,901 | 12,854,885 | 3,395,086 | 35.8\% | -33,016 | -0.3\% |
| Ramp | 1,821,263 | 2,199,249 | 2,182,733 | 377,986 | 20.8\% | -16,516 | -0.8\% |
| VMT/Capita | 24.73 | 25.12 | 25.18 | 0.39 | 1.6\% | 0.06 | 0.2\% |
| VMT/HH | 65.89 | 64.38 | 64.53 | -1.51 | -2.3\% | 0.15 | 0.2\% |
| VMT/Trip | 9.96 | 10.2 | 10.23 | 0.24 | 2.4\% | 0.03 | 0.3\% |

Table A2 - Year 2040 Network Utilization Statistics

|  |  |  | [2040 Build] - [No Build] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction | 2040 No Build | 2040 Build | Difference | \% Difference |
| District of Columbia | 264,569 | 258,012 | -6,557 | -2.5\% |
| Montgomery Co., MD | 502,679 | 464,240 | -38,439 | -7.6\% |
| Prince George's Co., MD | 444,635 | 432,391 | -12,244 | -2.8\% |
| Arlington Co., VA | 66,938 | 66,207 | -731 | -1.1\% |
| City of Alexandria, VA | 57,399 | 56,443 | -956 | -1.7\% |
| Fairfax Co., VA | 409,212 | 409,457 | 245 | 0.1\% |
| Loudoun Co., VA | 191,776 | 190,790 | -986 | -0.5\% |
| Prince William Co., VA | 208,928 | 208,481 | -447 | -0.2\% |
| Frederick Co., MD | 168,220 | 166,731 | -1,489 | -0.9\% |
| Howard Co., MD | 258,134 | 257,399 | -735 | -0.3\% |
| Anne Arundel Co., MD | 343,392 | 346,976 | 3,584 | 1.0\% |
| Charles Co., MD | 61,061 | 61,236 | 175 | 0.3\% |
| Carroll Co., MD | 81,224 | 81,065 | -159 | -0.2\% |
| Calvert Co., MD | 16,516 | 16,547 | 31 | 0.2\% |
| St. Mary's Co., MD | 32,478 | 32,584 | 106 | 0.3\% |
| King George Co., VA | 7,210 | 7,191 | -19 | -0.3\% |
| City of Fredericksburg, VA | 21,861 | 21,981 | 120 | 0.5\% |
| Stafford Co., VA | 91,326 | 91,805 | 479 | 0.5\% |
| Spotsylvania Co., VA | 28,661 | 28,734 | 73 | 0.3\% |
| Fauquier Co., VA | 63,127 | 63,186 | 59 | 0.1\% |
| Clarke Co., VA | 30,545 | 30,470 | -75 | -0.2\% |
| Jefferson Co., WVA | 17,274 | 17,305 | 31 | 0.2\% |
| MSA |  |  |  |  |
| DC | 264,569 | 258,012 | -6,557 | -2.5\% |
| VA | 1,025,579 | 1,023,183 | -2,396 | -0.2\% |
| MD | 1,193,111 | 1,141,145 | -51,966 | -4.4\% |
| MSA Total | 2,483,259 | 2,422,340 | -60,919 | -2.5\% |
| Total | 3,367,165 | 3,309,231 | -57,934 | -1.7\% |

Table A3 - Year 2040 Regional VHD Comparison

(a) AM Peak

(b) PM Peak

(c) Midday

Figure A3 - Mid-Point V/C Ratios by Direction

(a) AM Peak (6-9 am)

(b) PM Peak (3-7 pm)

Figure A4-2040 Travel Times in Local Corridors

(a) AM Peak

(b) PM Peak

(c) Midday

Figure A5-2040 ICC Volumes by Time Period (3-7 PM)

| Screenline | Direction | Location | No Build | Build | Build <br> - No Build | Build <br> / No Build |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | North-South | East of I-270 | 743,916 | 761,004 | 17,087 | 1.02 |
| 2 | North-South | West of Connecticutt Ave | 653,629 | 711,287 | 57,658 | 1.09 |
| 3 | North-South | East of Georgia Ave | 600,448 | 649,058 | 48,610 | 1.08 |
| 4 | North-South | West of Columbia Pike | 741,801 | 784,724 | 42,923 | 1.06 |
| 5 | North-South | West of I-95 | 834,907 | 862,035 | 27,128 | 1.03 |
| 6 | North-South | West of Baltimore Ave | 614,498 | 615,437 | 939 | 1.00 |
| 7 | North-South | West of BW Pkwy | 663,646 | 664,515 | 869 | 1.00 |
| 8 | East-West | North of Beltway | $1,580,646$ | $1,561,484$ | $-19,162$ | 0.99 |
| 9 | East-West | South of ICC | $1,449,270$ | $1,431,365$ | $-17,904$ | 0.99 |
| 10 | East-West | Between Beltway and ICC | $1,439,954$ | $1,535,339$ | 95,385 | 1.07 |
| 11 | East-West | North of ICC | $1,244,269$ | $1,251,152$ | 6,883 | 1.01 |
| Total | $10,566,983$ | $10,827,400$ | 260,417 | 1.02 |  |  |

Table A4-2040 Screenline Performance in the ICC Study: 2040 No Build Vs. Build

|  |  |  | [2040 Build] - [No Build] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction | 2040 No Build | 2040 Build | Difference | \% Difference |
| District of Columbia | 10,627,173 | 10,569,237 | -57,936 | -0.5\% |
| Montgomery Co., MD | 26,223,118 | 27,007,730 | 784,612 | 3.0\% |
| Prince George's Co., MD | 28,213,747 | 28,198,345 | -15,402 | -0.1\% |
| Arlington Co., VA | 4,846,730 | 4,840,202 | -6,528 | -0.1\% |
| City of Alexandria, VA | 2,652,824 | 2,648,911 | -3,913 | -0.1\% |
| Fairfax Co., VA | 34,092,342 | 34,094,854 | 2,512 | 0.0\% |
| Loudoun Co., VA | 10,622,764 | 10,617,536 | -5,228 | 0.0\% |
| Prince William Co., VA | 13,689,085 | 13,683,661 | -5,424 | 0.0\% |
| Frederick Co., MD | 13,304,987 | 13,271,961 | -33,026 | -0.2\% |
| Howard Co., MD | 14,980,898 | 14,837,442 | -143,456 | -1.0\% |
| Anne Arundel Co., MD | 18,983,285 | 18,991,491 | 8,206 | 0.0\% |
| Charles Co., MD | 4,499,887 | 4,499,189 | -698 | 0.0\% |
| Carroll Co., MD | 5,609,276 | 5,593,135 | -16,141 | -0.3\% |
| Calvert Co., MD | 2,571,695 | 2,574,281 | 2,586 | 0.1\% |
| St. Mary's Co., MD | 2,938,006 | 2,938,962 | 956 | 0.0\% |
| King George Co., VA | 1,311,634 | 1,312,351 | 717 | 0.1\% |
| City of Fredericksburg, VA | 1,224,654 | 1,223,555 | -1,099 | -0.1\% |
| Stafford Co., VA | 6,898,561 | 6,894,750 | -3,811 | -0.1\% |
| Spotsylvania Co., VA | 3,823,607 | 3,823,737 | 130 | 0.0\% |
| Fauquier Co., VA | 5,532,898 | 5,534,376 | 1,478 | 0.0\% |
| Clarke Co., VA | 1,604,887 | 1,605,066 | 179 | 0.0\% |
| Jefferson Co., WVA | 2,231,646 | 2,229,959 | -1,687 | -0.1\% |
| MSA |  |  |  |  |
| DC | 10,627,173 | 10,569,237 | -57,936 | -0.5\% |
| VA | 72,802,306 | 72,779,914 | -22,392 | 0.0\% |
| MD | 74,813,434 | 75,551,506 | 738,072 | 1.0\% |
| MSA Total | 158,242,913 | 158,900,657 | 657,744 | 0.4\% |
| Total | 216,483,704 | 216,990,731 | 507,027 | 0.2\% |

Table A5 - Year 2040 Regional VMT Comparison


Table A6 - Transit Trips between Travel Markets in 2040 No Build


Table A7 - Impacts of ICC on 2040 Transit Trips: 2040 No Build Vs. Build

|  |  | TO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}}{\substack{ \\\hline}}$ |  |  |  |  | 끈 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Omega}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{R} \\ \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | 1-370 | NA | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.91 | \$ 2.57 | \$ 3.21 | \$ 3.80 | \$ 4.24 |
|  | Georgia Ave. <br> (MD 97) | \$ 1.43 | NA | \$ 0.57 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.87 | \$ 2.45 | \$ 2.90 |
|  | Layhill Rd. <br> (MD 182) | \$ 1.98 | \$ 0.55 | NA | \$ 0.66 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.89 | \$ 2.34 |
|  | New Hampshire Ave. (MD650) | \$ 2.63 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 0.66 | NA | \$ 0.64 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.68 |
|  | Columbia Pike (US 29) | \$ 3.27 | \$ 1.84 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 0.64 | NA | \$ 0.59 | \$ 1.03 |
|  | I-95 | \$ 3.96 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 1.32 | \$ 0.69 | NA | \$ 0.45 |
|  | US 1 | \$ 4.29 | \$ 2.86 | \$ 2.31 | \$ 1.66 | \$ 1.02 | \$ 0.33 | NA |

Table A8- ICC Tolls in AM and PM Peak Periods in 2040 (in 2010 dollars)

|  |  | TO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}}{\substack{\text { n }}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | 1-370 | NA | \$ 1.02 | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.95 | \$ 2.44 | \$ 2.88 | \$ 3.23 |
|  | Georgia Ave. <br> (MD 97) | \$ 1.09 | NA | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.93 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.86 | \$ 2.20 |
|  | Layhill Rd. <br> (MD 182) | \$ 1.50 | \$ 0.42 | NA | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.99 | \$ 1.43 | \$ 1.77 |
|  | New Hampshire Ave. (MD650) | \$ 2.00 | \$ 0.92 | \$ 0.50 | NA | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.93 | \$ 1.27 |
|  | Columbia Pike (US 29) | \$ 2.48 | \$ 1.40 | \$ 0.98 | \$ 0.48 | NA | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.79 |
|  | I-95 | \$ 3.01 | \$ 1.92 | \$ 1.51 | \$ 1.01 | \$ 0.52 | NA | \$ 0.34 |
|  | US 1 | \$ 3.26 | \$ 2.17 | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.26 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.25 | NA |

Table A9 - ICC Tolls in Midday and Night Time Periods in 2040 (in 2010 dollars)

|  |  | TO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}}}{\stackrel{\sim}{4}}$ |  |  |  |  | 은 | $\underset{\sim}{\imath}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{R} \\ & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | 1-370 | 0.00 | 5.47 | 7.77 | 10.45 | 13.06 | 15.44 | 17.27 |
|  | Georgia Ave. <br> (MD 97) | 5.81 | 0.00 | 2.30 | 4.98 | 7.59 | 9.97 | 11.80 |
|  | Layhill Rd. <br> (MD 182) | 8.04 | 2.23 | 0.00 | 2.68 | 5.29 | 7.67 | 9.50 |
|  | New Hampshire Ave. <br> (MD650) | 10.71 | 4.90 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 2.61 | 4.99 | 6.82 |
|  | Columbia Pike (US 29) | 13.30 | 7.49 | 5.26 | 2.59 | 0.00 | 2.38 | 4.21 |
|  | I-95 | 16.10 | 10.29 | 8.06 | 5.39 | 2.80 | 0.00 | 1.83 |
|  | US 1 | 17.45 | 11.64 | 9.41 | 6.74 | 4.15 | 1.35 | 0.00 |

Table A10 - Distance between ICC Segments (in miles)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on 2-axle tolls shown in the Maryland Transportation Authority web page, http://www.mdta.maryland.gov/ICC/toll_tables/Two_Axle_Rate_Card.pdf

