

# Maryland Transportation Authority (MD)

The rating reflects the Maryland Transportation Authority's (MDTA) role as a vital system that provides diverse transportation links in the Mid-Atlantic region, demonstrated traffic levels with resilience to economic cycles and a pricing framework that allows for strong rate-making flexibility. The rating is further supported by robust financial metrics. MDTA's substantial liquidity position, with over 700 days cash on hand (DCOH) as of fiscal 2024, coupled with conservative debt management policies, provides additional support to the rating. Fitch Ratings will continue to monitor MDTA's plans for rebuilding Francis Scott Key Bridge and sources of funds to be used for the project.

## Key Rating Drivers

### Revenue Risk – Volume – ‘High Stronger’

#### Critical Transportation Network

MDTA revenues are derived from a diverse system of seven mature assets and the Inter County Connector, which provide critical transportation links in an affluent, high-volume market with limited competing facilities. The system's legacy facility transactions have historically experienced low elasticity, even when numerous significant toll increases have been introduced. Fitch considers the system's passenger and commercial toll rates to be moderate relative to peers.

### Revenue Risk – Price – ‘Stronger’

#### Demonstrated Toll Increases

The authority has independent rate-setting powers and a demonstrated ability to raise rates to maintain financial flexibility and meet internal policies. Management expects to raise toll rates to maintain the required 2.0x coverage.

### Infrastructure Development and Renewal – ‘Stronger’

#### Prudent Capital Planning

The authority's facilities are in good condition. The approximately \$5.1 billion fiscal years 2026–2031 capital program prioritizes system preservation, while also supporting expansion and congestion relief. Major capital investments include the Francis Scott Key Bridge replacement, the I-95 Express Toll Lanes Northbound Extension, and a range of preservation and enhancement projects. Funding is strategically allocated among projects, with the bridge replacement comprising the largest portion of the program.

### Debt Structure – ‘Stronger’

#### Conservative Debt Structure

All MDTA's debt is senior ranking, fully amortizing and fixed-rate, supported by an adequate covenant package, which includes surety-policy-backed debt service reserve funds. The authority operates according to a policy of maintaining a debt service coverage ratio (DSCR) above 2.0x, unencumbered cash above \$400 million, and a statutory bond cap of \$4.0 billion.

## Ratings

Maryland Transportation Authority (MD) /Transportation Revenues – First-Lien AA

## Outlook

Stable

## Applicable Criteria

Infrastructure & Project Finance Rating Criteria (January 2025)

Transportation Infrastructure Rating Criteria (January 2025)

## Related Research

Fitch Affirms Maryland Transportation Auth's Transportation Facilities Revs at 'AA'; Outlook Stable (August 2025)

## Analysts

Nikita Verma  
+1 212 908 9168  
nikita.verma@fitchratings.com

Gavin Weiss  
+1 312 606 3301  
gavin.weiss@fitchratings.com

## Financial Profile

MDTA has a track record of strong financial flexibility, evidenced by solid DSCRs and moderate leverage. The DSCR of 3.6x in fiscal 2024 was robust, although slightly below Fitch's prior base case expectation of 3.7x. Fitch's 10-year rating case DSCR averages a solid 2.0x. Leverage is projected to rise to 8.5x by fiscal 2029 from 2.7x in fiscal 2024, as the authority is expected to continue issuing debt, but it remains consistent with the rating. Liquidity remained strong in fiscal 2024, although balances are expected to partially decline as the authority executes its capital program.

## Peer Analysis

Like MDTA, Florida Turnpike Enterprise (FTE; AA/Stable) and Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission (PTC; senior: AA-/Stable; subordinate: A/Stable) are essential facilities with strong catchments and limited direct competition. All three entities have considerable ongoing capital needs. The average rating case DSCRs for MDTA and FTE are comparable, but MDTA's leverage exceeds FTE's, as additional debt is expected to be issued over the medium term. PTC's higher debt burden compared to both MDTA and FTE results in higher leverage, commensurate with PTC's lower ratings.

## Rating Sensitivities

### Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- An inability to maintain current coverage policy, leading to a sustained Fitch rating case 10-year average DSCR below 2.0x. This compares to the current rating case 10-year average DSCR of 2.0x.
- Funding needs for the Francis Scott Key Bridge reconstruction that require additional bonds, causing a substantially lower average DSCR and higher leverage than in Fitch's rating case.

### Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

- At the current rating level, a further upgrade is unlikely due to political risks inherent to toll systems.

## Overview

MDTA operates a system of toll facilities across Maryland, specifically in the Baltimore and Washington, D.C. metropolitan statistical areas. Eight toll facilities are included in the system: Thomas J. Hatem Memorial Bridge, John F. Kennedy Memorial Highway, Fort McHenry Tunnel, Baltimore Harbor Tunnel, Francis Scott Key Bridge, Intercounty Connector, William Preston Lane Jr. Memorial (Bay) Bridge, and Governor Harry W. Nice Memorial Bridge.

## Security

The 2022 TIFIA loan and outstanding Transportation Facilities Project revenue bonds are secured by a first lien on the net revenues of the Transportation Facilities Projects.

## Latest Developments

For the first eleven months of fiscal 2025, total system in-lane traffic has increased by 1% compared with the same period in the prior year. In fiscal 2024, total system in-lane traffic rose by 1.5% relative to fiscal 2023. Despite the ongoing reconstruction of the Francis Scott Key Bridge, a substantial proportion of transactions and revenues has been retained within the system, as the Baltimore Harbor Tunnel and Fort McHenry Tunnel, both MDTA assets, continue to serve as the primary alternative routes across the Baltimore Harbor.

MDTA delivered strong financial performance in fiscal 2024, underpinned by robust toll collections totaling \$761 million across its diversified toll system. Total revenues were 3.9% below Fitch's base case expectations, while operating expenses exceeded base case projections by 7.8%, reflecting ongoing investments in personnel, infrastructure maintenance, and customer service. MDTA maintained a healthy DSCR of 3.6x, well above policy requirements. Fitch views the credit as well-supported by strong financial management and proactive capital planning throughout the year, even amid operational disruptions such as the Key Bridge collapse.

The authority's substantial liquidity position of \$733 million in unrestricted cash and a DCOH of 761 days in fiscal 2024 provides a cushion and is sufficient to fund the entire fiscal 2025 operating budget and debt service. Management expects to increase toll rates in the near term, though the structure of toll and fee increases is still under discussion. This toll increase will maintain the MDTA Board policy of 2.0x coverage.

The six-year fiscal 2026–2031 Consolidated Transportation Program totals \$5.1 billion, with a primary focus on the Francis Scott Key Bridge rebuild and system expansion initiatives. Approximately 27% of the program is funded on a

pay-go basis, while the remainder will be financed through new debt issuance and short-term financing for the Key Bridge reconstruction prior to federal reimbursements.

At the time of the 2024 collapse, MDTA estimated the reconstruction cost of the Key Bridge between \$1.7 billion and \$1.9 billion, with a goal to finish the new bridge by the end of 2028. The project is moving forward under a progressive design-build process in partnership with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), with updated costs and schedule being evaluated as design work advances. Funding is fully secured through 100% FHWA reimbursement. MDTA is managing upfront expenses using cash reserves, \$350 million in insurance proceeds, short-term financing, and operating revenues.

## Key Features

### Project Summary Data

Project type	Toll Facility - Turnpike
Project location	Baltimore, Maryland
Project status	Operation
Revenue basis	Actual
Applicable regulation	U.S. and State Law
Operator	MDTA

Source: Fitch Ratings

### Financial Summary Data

Rated debt terms	\$2.1 billion of senior fixed-rate debt outstanding.
Amortization profile	Debt service is \$142.9 million in fiscal 2024, increasing thereafter with new issuances.
Reserves	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DSRF: three-pronged test, least of i) MADS, ii) 125% annual debt service, or iii) 10% bonds outstanding; to be met with cash, securities, or surety.</li> <li>• Rate Covenant: 1.2x DSCR, after funding the M&amp;O reserve.</li> </ul>
Transaction triggers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ABT: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DSCR greater than or equal to 1.2x for 12 consecutive months in 18;</li> <li>• DSCR greater than or equal to 1.2x until four years after relevant project completion;</li> <li>• Estimated DSCR greater than or equal to 1.20x MADS in the fifth year after project completion;</li> <li>• no event of default.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• TIFIA loan: ABT also includes a DSCR greater than or equal to 2.0x and minimum cash tests.</li> </ul>

Technical advisors T&R Consultants: CDM Smith & Jacobs.

DSRF – Debt service reserve fund. MADS – Maximum annual debt service. M&O – Maintenance and operations. ABT – Additional bonds test. T&R – Traffic and revenue.

Source: Fitch Ratings

## Financial Profile and Fitch Cases

### Current Cases

Fitch's cases include MDTA's expectations to maintain debt service coverage above 2.0x, and for additional debt issuance over the medium term. Unrestricted cash and other applicable cash balances are kept at the \$400 million cash policy requirement.

Fitch's base case assumes that fiscal 2025 toll revenue aligns with management's expectations and achieves a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 4.5% through 2034. Concession revenues and toll administrative charges are projected to be in line with the sponsor's assumptions. Operating expenses are based on management's budget and are expected to grow at a CAGR of 4.1% through 2034. Under this scenario, the average DSCR over 10 years is 2.2x, and net leverage is 7.8x in year five (2029).

The rating case assumes a 4% decline in toll revenue in fiscal 2026, reflecting the decline experienced by the turnpike system in prior economic downturns. Toll revenues are projected to recover over a three-year period. The Fitch rating case also assumes a more muted benefit from planned toll rate increases, resulting in a total revenue CAGR of 4.0% through 2034. Operating expenses are assumed to grow at a rate 50 basis points higher than in the base case. Under these assumptions, the 10-year average DSCR is a solid 2.0x, with net leverage increasing to 8.5x in year five. Despite recessionary pressures, rating case metrics remain robust and consistent with the current rating level.

## SOLICITATION & PARTICIPATION STATUS

For information on the solicitation status of the ratings included within this report, please refer to the solicitation status shown in the relevant entity's summary page of the Fitch Ratings website.

For information on the participation status in the rating process of an issuer listed in this report, please refer to the most recent rating action commentary for the relevant issuer, available on the Fitch Ratings website.

## DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2025 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.